		Page 2382		
1	Q	Okay. And Versus is also like		
2	that. Correct? It's set at Comcast			
3	headquarters. Correct?			
4	А	Versus has a		
5				
6	Q	Right. In the contract that		
7	applies to all the local subsidiaries.			
8	Correct?			
9	А	That's right.		
10	Q	And the Baseball Channel also has		
11	a contract which sets forth its distribution			
12	level at the contract it has with Comcast			
13	generally.	Correct?		
14	А	That's right.		
15	Q	And the Hockey Channel also has		
16	that.			
17	А	That's right.		
18	Q	And the Basketball Channel also		
19	has that. Correct?			
20	А	That's right.		
21	Q	These costs of distribution are		
22	nonetheless	at each local unit level.		

Page 2385 MR. PHILLIPS: No, I think it came 1 2 out within the last few months. 3 JUDGE: Okay. 4 MR. PHILLIPS: Last few weeks 5 actually. Mr. Carroll may know better than I 6 do. 7 BY MR. PHILLIPS: 8 If you turn to page 39, Ms. 9 Gaiski, it's Cable Segment Results of 10 Operations. Do you see that? 11 Α Yes. 12 That's your segment. Right? 13 That's the cable distribution segment. 14 Correct? 15 Α I believe so. 16 Okay. And I just want to make 17 sure we get some of these numbers into the 18 record. For the year ended 2010, the total 19 revenue it says for Comcast Cable was \$35.762. 20 Now it's written as \$35,762. But it's not 21 thousands, is it?

22

Α

No.

	Page 2386		
1	Q Do you know what that number is?		
2	A I believe it's billion.		
3	Q Billions. Okay. So and the total		
4	operating expenses, that would include the		
5	cost of the networks. Correct?		
6	A That's my understanding.		
7	Q Okay. And that's \$14 billion.		
8	Correct?		
9	A That's right.		
10	Q And there's some SG&A expenses of		
11	around \$7 billion.		
12	A Yes.		
13	Q So Comcast had operating income		
14	before depreciation and amortization of around		
15	\$14.5 billion for 2010.		
16	A That's what this chart shows.		
17	JUDGE: Can you go over that		
18	again? Is it M or B? Fourteen?		
19	MR. PHILLIPS: Fourteen. No, it's		
20	a B.		
21	JUDGE: Billion.		
22	MR. PHILLIPS: Billion. Yes, sir.		

May I approach, Your Honor?

that you had with Mr. Dannenbaum in June of

20

21

22

2008.

Page 2390 JUDGE: Yes. I take it this is 1 2 related to Mr. Dannenbaum. 3 MR. PHILLIPS: Well, this is some 4 correspondence Ms. Gaiski had with him. 5 JUDGE: All right. 6 MR. PHILLIPS: And this is Tennis 7 Channel Exhibit 506. 8 (Whereupon, the document referred 9 to was marked as Tennis Channel 10 Exhibit No. 506 for 11 identification.) 12 JUDGE: Thank you. 13 MR. CARROLL: Is this already in? 14 MR. PHILLIPS: I don't know if 15 this is in or not. 16 Your Honor, unless Mr. Carroll has 17 an objection, I would move its admission. 18 MR. CARROLL: No objection. 19 JUDGE: It's in. 20 MR. PHILLIPS: Okay. 21 JUDGE: This is Tennis Channel 22 Exhibit 506 which is now into evidence as 506.

A Yes, sir.

June. Correct?

21

Now let's just pause for a second 1 0 and make sure we know who Mr. Dannenbaum is. 2 3 Can you identify him for us? Α At this time, Alan Dannenbaum was 4 5 the EVP of Network Distribution and Development for the Comcast programming side. So he's on the opposite side of 0 8 Correct? you. That's right. 9 Α He's one of the guys that you're 10 11 supposed to treat at arm's length. Right? Yes. 12 Α 13 And he's asking you here -- He's 14 giving you a list of melt opportunities for Versus and Golf. Do you see that? 15 That's what he calls melt 16 17 opportunities. 18 And melt opportunities are places 19 where there may be opportunities to take 20 Versus and Golf and get even more distribution 21 than the digital carriage that they already

22

have.

Correct?

1	A	That's	right.
---	---	--------	--------

Q And he's giving you this list and asking you frankly if you can help find or capitalize on these melt opportunities. Is that right?

A That's right.

Q He's acting as a salesman for Versus and Golf here essentially. Correct?

A That's right.

Q Now I'd like to turn your attention to some other efforts that were taken. You also got involved in making sure that Comcast complied with its contracts on the Versus and programming side, right, from time to time?

A That's right.

Q All right. So that in November 2009 you were contacted about the Versus contract. Do you recall this?

A No, I do not.

Q My colleague, Ms. Pogoriler, asked you about this during your deposition. There

Page 2394 1 was a deal that Versus had with the NHL in 2 which the Versus got to show some of the 3 hockey games on Versus. Do you remember that deal? 4 5 I remember generally the issue 6 around it. 7 Right. And what you were told is that in order to make sure that Comcast 8 9 complied with that contract they had to get at 10 least percent penetration level on the distribution side, your side. Correct? 11 12 Α I believe what they said was that 13 the deal that Versus had done with the NHL the 14 NHL had required Versus to make sure that the 15 individual channel was not on a tier with less 16 percent carriage. 17 Right. So they told you that 18 "Look our contract requires this that we have 19 to have a tier of at least this much 20 penetration." Is that right?

A That is right.

21

22

JUDGE: Where do you get

1 percent? What is the percent measured? What is that? I don't understand. 2 3 percent of what? THE WITNESS: Total subscribers. 4 Those would be the broadcast basic 5 subscribers. 6 7 JUDGE: So of everybody that 8 subscribers to Comcast in any way, shape or 9 form? 10 THE WITNESS: That's right. percent of that. 11 JUDGE: 12 THE WITNESS: That you couldn't 13 put Versus on the level of service that had 14 less than percent of the total subscribers 15 buying it. And the NHL put that requirement 16 on Versus when the NHL gave Versus the license 17 to show their games. And then Versus had to 18 go to the entire industry and make sure that 19 that happened. 20 MR. PHILLIPS: And for --21 JUDGE: Wait, wait. Well, why the 22 entire industry? Versus is just affiliated

1 | with Comcast.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

THE WITNESS: Versus is carried by

every MVPD in the industry.

JUDGE: That makes sense. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I understand. Thank you.

BY MR. PHILLIPS:

Q And they asked you to confirm that Versus was going to be carried at least at a

percent level. Correct?

A I generally remember that. Yes.

MR. PHILLIPS: And if I may approach, Your Honor, I'll show the witness a document that she executed on this.

JUDGE: Yes.

MR. PHILLIPS: It's already in evidence, Your Honor. Tennis Channel Exhibit 84.

JUDGE: That sounds familiar.

19 Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

21 MR. PHILLIPS: You can tell from

22 the double digits like Comcast these are older

1 documents.

JUDGE: These were the ones that were slipped in nicely in the beginning.

Right? I want you to know I would be willing to go out and duck hunt with either side.

(Laughter.)

BY MR. PHILLIPS:

Q And, Ms. Gaiski, if you've got the Exhibit 84 in front of you, this is the memo you wrote back that at the bottom -- Well, actually, Ms. Cartwright wrote it. I'm sorry. Who is Ms. Cartwright?

A She's an analyst that works for me.

Q And you checked with her to make sure that you were in compliance with the Versus contract.

A It looks like that's what I'm doing here.

Q And she wrote back to you and confirmed that you were in compliance with the Versus contract. Correct?

A Right. She writes, "I confirmed that we do not have any carriage higher than D1."

Q Now I'd like to change the subject again for you shortly. You recall there was a time at which the Tennis Channel thought it had a deal with the Bay area in order to supply 500 new tennis rackets to any new subscribers and in return the Bay area was going to melt down as we've been using the term the system from the sports tier to a more broadly distributed tier. Do you recall talking about this before?

A I didn't know anything about that.

Q Okay. Do you recall you got questioned about it at your deposition?

A That's right.

MR. PHILLIPS: Let me if I may -
If I may approach, Your Honor. I'm going to

do this two at once with two exhibits I'd like

to put forth before the witness.

JUDGE: Let's see how it works.

1 MR. PHILLIPS: It's Tennis Channel 2 30. These are already into evidence. 3 Tennis Channel 31. 4 JUDGE: We're going to work with 5 two documents simultaneously. 6 MR. PHILLIPS: Two documents. 7 It's just a set-up for some further questions, Your Honor. 8 9 Tennis Channel Exhibit 30 JUDGE: 10 and 31. All right. This is September and November of 2006. 11 BY MR. PHILLIPS: 12 13 Now I realize that you're not on these documents, Ms. Gaiski, but I just wanted 14 15 to go through it a little bit. The first --16 Mr. Rick Lange who's on 30, who is that? 17 I believe he was the Vice 18 President of Marketing for the Bay area. 19 Okay. And if you notice that Mike 20 Kim sent him an email on September 6, 2006 21 talking about the Wilson W6 Blue Steel In Code 22 Racquet. Do you see that?

are dual illuminating. Correct?

And some of your other networks

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Like the NFL network for example.

Α Yes.

0 Where you show them both on the sports tier but they also have much broader distribution.

In the case of NFL, it's on D1 as well.

9 Wait a minute. JUDGE: Whoa.

10 What was the last thing you said?

11 THE WITNESS: In the case of NFL, 12 it's also on digital classic. So it's on the 13 sports tier and digital classic. That's

called dual illumination. 14

15 JUDGE: I see that concept.

16 Okay. That means what? Where is the

17 illumination part?

18 MR. PHILLIPS: It's not in there,

19 Your Honor. It's just to assign Tennis

20 Channel to be on both the broader channel, the

21 broader tier, and the sports tier is a

22 phenomenon called dual illumination.

Page 2402 1 MR. CARROLL: And, Your Honor, I'm 2 not objecting to the questioning. I'll just note that the witness is not on these emails 3 and that there's no foundation established 4 5 that she knows anything about what's on these 6 emails. 7 JUDGE: I'm sure we'll get to 8 that. MR. CARROLL: I think we've 9 10 already established that actually. BY MR. PHILLIPS: 11 12 If you look at 31 for a second, 0 13 Mr. Lange writes back to his colleague at the Tennis Channel -- Mr. Lange at Comcast writes 14 15 -- "Mike, they were approved in Denver." Now 16 Denver is the division office. 17 Α That's right. "And send to Philly." That's 18 19 where you are. Right? 20 I work in Philly, yes. Α 21 "We hope to hear something this

week." So actually to these emails, it looks

Page 2404 Honor. I have one final exhibit on this line 1 2 of questioning which is Tennis Channel Exhibit 48 which I believe is also in. 3 4 JUDGE: Let's see what it looks 5 like. Thank you. 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 7 JUDGE: This is dated 2007. This 8 is subsequent to Tennis Channel 30 and 31. 9 ahead. 10 MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. 11 BY MR. PHILLIPS: 12 Now do you recall? This describes Q 13 a meeting with Mr. Turpin with you, Ms. 14 Gaiski. That's what this email is about. 15 Α 16 I don't recall meeting with Eric Turpin. 17 Q Okay. Now I'm looking at the second bullet on this one. It starts off 18

Mr. Turpin writes, "I expressed our deep displeasure of these migrations and Jen stated the following." And if I may read

where it says, "Tennis Channel Migrations."

19

2.0

21

it and follow along with me. "She also stated the decision not to launch Tennis Channel on D2 in the Bay area came from the 35th floor, Brian Roberts and Steve Badeau. Their logic was the pending battle with NFL and if they start making considerations for services on the sports tier why have a sports tier. I asked if the division we should migrate to this channel to D2. Would corporate stand in the way? And Jen stated based on the current situation with NFL and Big Ten Network they would not approve the migration." Do you see that, Ms. Gaiski?

A I do see that.

Q And does that accurately state the conversations that you had with Mr. Turpin?

A No. I don't recall having a conversation with Eric Turpin.

Q So you don't recall one way or the other about this. Correct?

A No. I know Eric, but I wouldn't normally deal with Eric.

During the '05-'06 time frame, we

22

Α

were very much involved in launching the sports tier throughout the company. So we were talking to people about putting Tennis Channel on sports tier.

Q And you communicated in the '05'06 and also '07 time frame that you wanted to
leave Tennis Channel on the sports tier.
Correct?

A Well, no. What I recall is that in '07 that's when I actually started reaching out for the most part. We did a little bit in the '05-'06 time frame. We reached out to some systems. But then we continued to do that in the '07 time frame a little bit more seriously because at that point in time sports tier had been distributed to a great deal of our company.

Q Ms. Gaiski, you communicated guidelines at some point to the field about what networks should be on the sports tier. Correct?

A I don't recall specifically, but